

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,022	08/18/2003	Jia Ping Li	04148-00031	1537
22910 7	590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 28 STATE STREET			JENKINS, DANIEL J	
28th FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02109-9601			1742	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				15
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/647,022	LI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Daniel J. Jenkins	1742	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI EFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 September 2005.		
•		This action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 18-22 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the confidence to be the confidence of the co		·	• •
		ie Examiner. Note the attached	TO THE ACTION OF TO THE PTO-152.	•
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen		🗖 .		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/26/03</u> .	8) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —.	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,022 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of

the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB

1004352 (GB'352) in view of Harney et al.

GB'352 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. GB'352 discloses a method of

forming a porous article comprising:

providing a polymeric foam (page 1, line 47; page 2, lines 2-5);

impregnating said foam with a slurry comprising metal compound particles (page

2, lines 5-8); and

Application/Control Number: 10/647,022

Art Unit: 1742

heating the polymeric foam to pyrolize the polymer and to reduce the metal compound so as to form a metal structure (page 2, liens 43-80).

GB'352 further discloses wherein the polymeric foam comprises polyurethane (page 2, line 4).

Gb'352 further discloses wherein the slurry contains a thickening agent (page 2, line 14).

GB'further discloses wherein the metal compound can be a refractory compound (page 2, lines 65-80), but does not specifically disclose a hydride compound, and in particular, titanium hydride.

Harney et al. teaches in the same field of endeavor, producing porous bodies by sintering, that titanium hydride is a reducable metal compound used to form porous bodies of high strength.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use titanium hydride as the metal compound as taught by Harney et al. in the invention of GB'352 in order to form a porous body of high strength.

GB'352, further discloses wherein the foam can contain a cellulose, but is silent as to methylcellulose. It is common knowledge in the prior art that methylcellulose is an equivalent to polyurethane as a particular cellulose selection, such an equivalent substitution being obvious.

GB'352 further discloses examples of particle size, and operating temperatures and pressures which overlaps and/or fall within Applicant's claimed range, establishing a prima facie case of obviousness in regard to this parameter (see MPEP 2144.05).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,022 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Additioally, the determination of operational parameters based on the selection of the initial starting materials and optimized to minimize residual contamination of the formed structure by the pyrolized foam would be obvious to one of ordinary skill (see MPEP 2144.05, specifically Section II).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742